REMARKS

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-11, 13, 14 and 16-20 are currently pending in this application and shall

Examiner: SIVJI, Nizar N.

remain pending following the entry of this response. Claims 2, 3, 6, 12 and 15 have been

cancelled. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9-11, 13 and 17 have been amended. Claims 1, 11 and 17 are

independent claims. Applicant submits that all presently pending claims are in condition for

allowance as all claims have been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter as

indicated by the Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 6 and 15 recite allowable subject matter and

would be allowed if amended to incorporate all of the subject matter of their respective parent

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter. claims.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended each of independent claims 1, 11 and 17 to recite the

subject matter recited in claims 6 and 15 and their respective base claims. An allowance of all

outstanding claims is kindly requested.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Palaez et al.

(US Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0185836, herein after Palaez), in view of Houde et. al.

(US Patent No. 5,978,678, herein after Houde) Lozano et al. (US Patent No. 5,982,869,

hereinafter Lozano) and further in view of Vikberg (US Patent No. 7,283,518 herein after

Vikberg). This rejection is traversed, as each of these references, alone or in combination, do not

teach or suggest the subject matter of the rejected claims.

As stated above, claims 6 and 15 (now cancelled and their subject matter incorporated

into their respective base claims by amendment) recite allowable subject matter. Applicant has

amended each of the pending independent claims 1, 11 and 17 to recite the allowable subject

matter of claims 6 or 15 and their respective base claims. Therefore, all presently pending claims

are in condition for allowance.

Page 7 of 8

Appl. No. 10/599,893

Response Dated 08/10/2011

Reply to Office action of 02/10/2011

Accordingly, the combination of Palaez, Houde, Lozano and Vikberg fails to disclose,

Examiner: SIVJI, Nizar N.

suggest or render obvious the features recited in claims 1, 11 and 17. All of the claim recitations

of the present application have not been taught by the references cited, and, thus, a prima facie

case of obviousness has not been established. Withdrawal of the rejection of the claims and an

allowance of all outstanding claims is kindly requested.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the foregoing amendment places the Application in condition for

allowance. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn

and full allowance granted. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions,

please contact Raffi Gostanian at (972) 849-1310.

Respectfully submitted,

RG&ASSOCIATES

Dated: August 10, 2011

By: /Raffi Gostanian/

Raffi Gostanian

Reg. No. 42,595

Page 8 of 8